

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/12/2003	Munechika Okita	117489	8817
11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		LABBEES, EDNY	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2632	
	12/12/2003 11/17/2005 IDGE, PLC	12/12/2003 Munechika Okita  11/17/2005  IDGE, PLC	12/12/2003 Munechika Okita 117489  11/17/2005 EXAMI IDGE, PLC LABBEES  VA 22320 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/733,473	OKITA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edny Labbees	2632
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Dec</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.	
<ul> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/ar</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended</li> </ul>	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical state.</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/12/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McQuade et al. (US 6,362,734).

Regarding Claim 1, McQuade discloses Method And Apparatus For Monitoring
Seat Belt Use Of Rear Seat Passengers that has the following claimed limitations:

Claimed detector is met by seat belt status sensors (12 & 40); claimed use indicator and non use indicator is met by the seat belt status sensors (12) that may be buckle sensors that generate signals indicating whether the seat belt is buckled or unbuckled (See Col. 2 Ins 66-67 and Col. 3 In 1).

Regarding Claim 2, claimed use indicator and non-use indicator formed by a single indicator device formed by a single indicator device is met by the visual display (50) comprising of separate symbols (52) to indicate whether the seatbelts are fastened or unfastened (See Col. 6 Ins 33-40).

Regarding Claim 4, claimed plurality of detectors, use indicators and non-use indicators are provided for each plurality of seats in the vehicle is met by plurality of seat

belt status sensors (12), plurality of symbols (52) on display (50) that indicator which seat is belt is fastened or unfastened (see Col. 6 lns 33-44).

Regarding Claim 5, claimed detector dependent on whether the tongue plate is engaged with a buckle position is met by the buckle sensors (12) detecting whether the seat belt latch plate (unlabeled) is fully inserted into the buckle (unlabeled) (see Col. 3 lns 1-5).

Regarding Claim 7, the claim is rejected and interpreted as claim 1 stated above.

Regarding Claim 8, the claim is rejected and interpreted as claim 1 and 2 stated above.

Regarding Claim 10, the claim is rejected and interpreted as claim 4 stated above.

Regarding Claim 11, the claim is rejected and interpreted as claim 5 stated above.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3, 6, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McQuade et al. (US 6,362,734) in view of Slaughter et al. (US 6,215,395).

Regarding Claim 3, McQuade discloses a system where the indication relates to at least one of a display color and a display state, but does not specifically relate to display brightness. However Slaughter discloses *Apparatus And Method For Verifying Seatbelt Use In A Motor* that teaches a dimming control (134) to vary the intensity of the indicators. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Slaughter into the system of McQuade so that the user can adjust the intensity of the indicators for daytime and nighttime travel.

Regarding Claim 6, McQuade does not specifically disclose the use and non-use indicator using LED technology. However Slaughter teaches a system where the indicators (106x) use LED technology (see Col. 3 lns 43-47). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Slaughter into the system of Lary because of the advantages of LED technology, such as low power consumption, long life and low heat production.

Regarding Claim 9, the claim is rejected and interpreted as claim 3 stated above.

Regarding Claim 12, the claim is rejected and interpreted as claim 6 stated above.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lary, Seatbelt Usage Indicator, (US 6,215,395)

Mutter et al. Seat Belt Usage Indicating System, (US 5,483,221)

Art Unit: 2632

Quantz, Method And Apparatus For Detecting The Utilization...(US 3,874,474)

Conigliaro et al. Seat Belt Indicator System, (US 4,849,733)

Lee, SeatBelt Signal Light, (US 6,774,781)

Conway, SeatBelt Status Alerting Unit (US 6,002,325)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edny Labbees whose telephone number is (571) 272-2793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edny Labbees 11/07/2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER